

University Committee  
University of Wisconsin - Extension  
432 N. Lake St., Room 523  
Madison, WI 53706

February 10, 2009

MEMBERS PRESENT: David Nack, Dave Hart, Chuck Prissel, Chris Knapp, Kathleen Haas, Greg Blonde

GUESTS: Christine Quinn, Ray Schultz

- I. CALL TO ORDER/CERTIFICATION OF OPEN MEETING NOTICE COMPLIANCE: David Nack opened the meeting.
- II. REVIEW OF MINUTES OF JANUARY 14, 2009, FACULTY SENATE AND APPROVAL OF DECEMBER 9 UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE MINUTES: Correction from Christine on her piece in the Program Innovation Fund. With edit, minutes approved.
- III. PROVOST / VC REPORT: Quinn:  
**BUDGET:** Feb. 17<sup>th</sup> new date for Gov's budget address. Feb. 20<sup>th</sup> – Chancellor will have WisLine from 1:30 – 2:30 on the budget. Chancellor has put together a Budget Planning Workgroup and Chris Kniep serves on that committee. The team is charged to find ways to address \$1.5 million in the next biennium. Looking for cost savings, revenue generation, money reduction opportunities. Quinn: Good example of commonalities is re-hiring annuitants. We cannot have a contract with them within 30 days of their rehiring. Recommendations from the workgroup are due to the Chancellor in a couple of weeks.  
**Program Innovation Fund:** Announcement has been made and applications are due back in early May.  
**Administrative Integration Steering Committee:** Had a recent meeting to reflect on the integration process. What was the vision, how did we do it and where we are today? We have made good strides. One topic was governance. What do you see as the success of these meetings, the co-located meeting and do you have any recommendations for change? Discussion followed on partnerships w/Colleges that began at casual meetings or workshops and assessment in general of the UC meetings
- IV. CHAIR REPORT: - David Nack – No report. Anything anyone cares to discuss? Brief discussion about the budget.
- V. SYSTEM FACULTY REPS COUNCIL REPORT: No report.
- VI. UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE APPROVAL OF VACANCY APPOINTMENT FOR FACULTY SENATE FROM THE DEPT. OF FAMILY DEVELOPMENT: REPLACE KATHY MILLER WITH BARB HAYNES. Motion from Chris Kniep to approve the replacement of Kathy Miller on Faculty Senate w/Barb Haynes and Chuck Prissel seconded the motion. With no further discussion, ayes have it and the motion carried unanimously.
- VII. ACADEMIC DEPARTMENT ELECTIONS: PROGRESS REPORT FROM UC MEMBERS: This is a reminder that they need to be announced by April 1<sup>st</sup>.
- VIII. ARTICLE IV RECOMMENDATIONS PRESENTED TO THE JANUARY 14, 2009 FACULTY SENATE – APPROVAL OF THE FGU LIST FOR FORWARDING TO THE CHANCELLOR: Discussion by all regarding Article IV and the Provost waiting for the FGU list to be determined so she

can best advice the Chancellor. Prissel moved to accept the faculty governance unit list and recommendations that were presented on January 14, 2009 by the Faculty Senate with the understanding that changes are possible under 4.01 (2) if necessary. Kniep seconded. No discussion. Vote. Motion carried unanimously. Prissel – what about Appendix IB and Appendix IIB? Still on Provost’s desk. They will all be moved as a package.

- IX. DISCUSSION ABOUT JOINT UC-ASC MEETING IN MARCH: ASC will move their meeting from March 18<sup>th</sup> to March 10<sup>th</sup> in order to meet jointly. That joint meeting will be from 1:00 – 5:00pm. Schultz has accumulated several articles and URLs regarding shared governance and collective bargaining. After much discussion, Nack laid out potential discussion topics for the joint ASC and UC meeting in March. 1) Discussion of shared governance – definition and how effective is it? 2) Possible pending collective bargaining legislation. 3) How would collective bargaining either support or not support our voices as faculty or academic staff. How would collective bargaining be perceived by county boards, legislators, regents and administration?
- X. FACULTY TENURE ORIENTATION WORKSHOP PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT: Three will be offered – May, July and September. Running from 9AM – 3PM, all will be in the same location – either Stevens Point or Wausau. They asked that every department have someone on the Planning Committee, but they only have one. Planning and Delivery Committee will be tenured folks, perhaps form the SRP Committee and they will probably become presenters. . Would like somebody from the SRP Committee. And will probably turn into presenters at the workshop. This workshop is for tenured faculty members, they are mentors, helping untenured through the process.
- XI. TENURE SCHOLARSHIP AND REFLECTION FORUM PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT: They need to get a date to Schultz. Quinn: Comments on scholarship in general. She has spoken to Extension members regarding this topic. Here are some thoughts. 1) This is not a new conversation but it is evolving. The thinking has moved that scholarship and tenure are connected, but our scholarly work goes beyond. Scholarship at Extension goes beyond because it has an engagement at the same time. Academic staff are also doing their work in a scholarship fashion. *ACTION \*\*\** The Provost would like to be present at these workshops to show her support. Discussion followed on scholarship as it relates to various units as well as academic staff vs. faculty scholarship.
- XII. PROACTIVE DISCUSSION OF INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES AND OPPORTUNITIES APPROPRIATE FOR FACULTY GOVERNANCE: None
- XIII. ADJOURN: Motion to adjourn by Chuck Prissel and second by Dave Hart. Meeting adjourned at 3:55.